

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

07/256,689

10/12/88

CASKEY

C D-5050

MARSCHEL, A

THOMAS D. PAUL PATENT DEPT. FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI 1301 MC KINNEY STREET HOUSTON, TX 77010

187

14

09/30/91

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION

XI IH	PEHIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) 🗀	is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
ы Ж	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Ap	pellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	olicant's response to the final rejection, filed
×	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
	 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
. 0	NOTE: the need for all primers laving similar melt clarations is not disclosed in the specification and is therefore NEW MATTER. The increased engine and aptends there are unclear as to what increase in either fello within no. orticle the climal scape, also only 100 un for of any grape is disclosed nather than the broader scape covered by an unappecific increase. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
X	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment 🔲 will be entered 🔀 will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claims allowed:
· 🔲	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.	
3Othe	The response to the final rejection is incomplete because one not cancel non-elected claims 9-17. Note that this was pointed in the find rejection mailed 7/16/91.
out	in the find rejection mailed 7/16/91.
OL-303	ROBERT A. WAX SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER